



- The methods we studied so far are instances of supervised learning
- In supervised learning, we have a set of predictors x , and want to predict a response or outcome variable y
- During training, we have both input and output variables
- Training consist of estimating parameters w of a model
- During prediction, we are given x and make predictions based on model we learned

Supervised learning: regression



- The response (outcome) variable (y) is a quantitative variable.
- Given the features (x) we want to predict the value of y

Supervised learning: classification



- The response (outcome) is a label. In the example: positive (orange circle) or negative (blue circle)
- Given the features (x_1 and x_2), we want to predict the label of an unknown instance (red circle)

Supervised learning

how do we learn?

- The aim is to estimate a set of parameters w
- We define an *objective function*, and find the parameter values that minimize the objective
- The objective typically involves reducing the training error defined based on the true labels in the training data

Unsupervised learning

- In unsupervised learning, we do not have labels in our training data
- Our aim is to find useful patterns/structure in the data
 - for exploratory study of the data
 - for augmenting / complementing supervised methods
- Close relationships with 'data mining', 'data science / analytics', 'knowledge discovery'
- Most unsupervised methods can be cast as graphical models with hidden variables
- Evaluation is difficult: we do not have 'true' labels/values


Today's lecture

- *Clustering*: find related groups of instances
- *Density estimation*: find a probability distribution that explains the data
- *Dimensionality reduction*: find an accurate/useful lower dimensional representation of the data
- Unsupervised learning in ANNs (RBMs, autoencoders)

Clustering: why do we do it?

- The aim is to find groups of instances/items that are similar to each other
- Applications include
 - Clustering languages, dialects for determining their relations
 - Clustering (literary) texts, for e.g., authorship attribution
 - Clustering words for e.g., better parsing
 - Clustering documents, e.g., news into topics
 - ...

Clustering in two dimensional space



- Unlike classification, we do not have labels
- We want to find 'natural' groups in the data
- Intuitively, similar or closer data points are grouped together

Similarity and distance

- The notion of distance (similarity) is important in clustering. A distance measure D ,
 - is symmetric: $D(a, b) = D(b, a)$
 - non-negative: $D(a, b) \geq 0$
 - for all a, b , and if $D(a, b) = 0$ iff $a = b$
 - obeys triangle inequality: $D(a, b) + D(b, c) \geq D(a, c)$
- The choice of distance is application specific
- We will often face with defining distance measures between linguistic units (letters, words, sentences, documents, ...)

Distance measures in Euclidean space

- Euclidean distance:


$$\|a - b\| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^k (a_j - b_j)^2}$$

- Manhattan distance:

$$\|a - b\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^k |a_j - b_j|$$

How to do clustering

Most clustering algorithms try to minimize the scatter *within* each cluster. Which is equivalent to maximizing the scatter *between* clusters.



$$\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{a \in C_k} \sum_{b \in C_k} d(a, b)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{a \in C_k} \sum_{b \in C_{\ell}} d(a, b)$$

K-means algorithm

K-means is a popular method for clustering.

1. Randomly choose *centroids*, m_1, \dots, m_k , representing K clusters
2. Repeat until convergence
 - Assign each data point to the cluster of the nearest centroid
 - Re-calculate the centroid locations based on the assignments

Effectively, we are finding a *local minimum* of the sum of squared Euclidean distance within each cluster

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{a \in C_k} \sum_{b \in C_k} \|a - b\|^2$$

K-means clustering: visualization




- The data
- Set cluster centroids randomly
- Assign data points to the closest centroid
- Recalculate the centroids

K-means clustering: visualization




- The data
- Set cluster centroids randomly
- Assign data points to the closest centroid
- Recalculate the centroids

K-means clustering: visualization




- The data
- Set cluster centroids randomly
- Assign data points to the closest centroid
- Recalculate the centroids

K-means clustering: visualization




- The data
- Set cluster centroids randomly
- Assign data points to the closest centroid
- Recalculate the centroids

K-means clustering: visualization




- The data
- Set cluster centroids randomly
- Assign data points to the closest centroid
- Recalculate the centroids

K-means clustering: visualization




- The data
- Set cluster centroids randomly
- Assign data points to the closest centroid
- Recalculate the centroids

K-means clustering: visualization




- The data
- Set cluster centroids randomly
- Assign data points to the closest centroid
- Recalculate the centroids

K-means clustering: visualization



- The data
- Set cluster centroids randomly
- Assign data points to the closest centroid
- Recalculate the centroids

K-means clustering: visualization



- The data
- Set cluster centroids randomly
- Assign data points to the closest centroid
- Recalculate the centroids


K-means: some issues

- K-means requires the data to be in an Euclidean space
- K-means is sensitive to outliers
- The results are sensitive to initialization
 - There are some smarter ways to select initial points
 - One can do multiple initializations, and pick the best (with lowest within-group squares)
- It works well with approximately equal-size round-shaped clusters
- We need to specify number of clusters in advance

How many clusters?

- The number of clusters is defined for some problems, e.g., classifying news into a fixed set of topics/interests
- For others, there is no clear way to select the best number of clusters
- The error (within cluster scatter) decreases with increasing number of clusters, using a test set or cross validation is not useful either
- A common approach is clustering for multiple K values, and picking where there is an 'elbow' in the graph of the error function

How many clusters?



K-medoids

- K-medoids algorithm is an alternation of K-means
- Instead of calculating centroids, we try to find most typical data point (medoids) at each iteration
- K-medoids can work with distances, does not need feature vectors to be in an Euclidean space
- It is less sensitive to outliers
- It is computationally more expensive than K-means

Hierarchical clustering

- Instead of a flat division to clusters as in K-means, hierarchical clustering builds a hierarchy based on similarity of the data points
- There are two main 'modes of operation':

Bottom-up or *agglomerative* clustering

- starts with individual data points,
- merges the clusters until all data is in a single cluster

Top-down or *divisive* clustering

- starts with a single cluster,
- and splits until all leaves are single data points

Hierarchical clustering

- Hierarchical clustering operates on distances (or similarities)
- The result is a binary tree called *dendrogram*
- Dendrograms are easy to interpret (especially if data is hierarchical)
- The algorithm does not commit to the number of clusters K from the start, the dendrogram can be 'cut' at any height for determining the clusters

Agglomerative clustering

1. Compute the similarity/distance matrix
2. Assign each data point to its own cluster
3. Repeat until no clusters left to merge
 - Pick two clusters that are most similar to each other
 - Merge them into a single cluster




Agglomerative clustering demonstration




How to calculate between cluster distances

- Complete** maximal inter-cluster distance
- Single** minimal inter-cluster distance
- Average** mean inter-cluster distance
- Centroid** distance between the centroids




How to calculate between cluster distances

- Complete** maximal inter-cluster distance
- Single** minimal inter-cluster distance
- Average** mean inter-cluster distance
- Centroid** distance between the centroids




How to calculate between cluster distances

- Complete** maximal inter-cluster distance
- Single** minimal inter-cluster distance
- Average** mean inter-cluster distance
- Centroid** distance between the centroids



How to calculate between cluster distances

- Complete** maximal inter-cluster distance
- Single** minimal inter-cluster distance
- Average** mean inter-cluster distance
- Centroid** distance between the centroids



Note: we only need distances, (feature) vectors are not necessary

Clustering evaluation

Evaluating clustering results is often non-trivial

- Internal evaluation is based a metric that aims to indicate 'good clustering': e.g., *Dunn index*, *gap statistic*, *silhouette*
- External metrics can be useful if we have labeled test data: e.g., *V-measure*, *B² of F-score*
- The results can be tested on the target application: e.g., word-clusters evaluated based on their effect on parsing accuracy
- Human judgments, manual evaluation – 'looks good to me'

Clustering evaluation

internal metric: example: silhouette


$$s_i = \frac{b(i) - a(i)}{\max(a(i), b(i))}$$

where

- a(i)** average distance between object i and objects in the same cluster
- b(i)** average distance between object i and objects in the closest cluster



- We want clusters that contain members of a single gold-standard class (homogeneity)
- We want all members of a class to be in a single cluster (completeness)



Note the similarity with precision and recall.

- We do not have proper evaluation procedures for clustering results (for unsupervised learning in general)
- Some clustering methods are unstable, slight changes in the data or parameter choices may change the results drastically
- Approaches against instability include some validation methods, or producing 'probabilistic' dendrograms by running clustering with different options

Density estimation

- K-means treats all data points in a cluster equally
- A 'soft' version of K-means is density estimation for Gaussian mixtures, where
 - We assume the data comes from a mixture of K Gaussian distributions
 - We try to find the parameters of each distribution (instead of centroids) that maximizes the likelihood of the data
- Unlike K-means, mixture of Gaussians assigns probabilities for each data point belonging to one of the clusters
- It is typically estimated using the expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm


Density estimation using the EM algorithm

- The EM algorithm (or its variations) is used in learning models with latent/hidden variables
 - It is closely related to the K-means algorithm
- Initialize the parameters (e.g., randomly) of K multivariate normal distributions (μ, Σ)
 - Iterate until convergence:
 - E-step: Given the parameters, compute the membership 'weights', the probability of each data point belonging to each distribution
 - M-step: Re-estimate the mixture density parameters using the calculated membership weights in the E-step

Principal component Analysis

- Principal component analysis (PCA) is a method of *dimensionality reduction*
- PCA maps the original data into a lower dimensional space by a linear transformation (rotation)
- The transformed lower-dimensional variables retain most of the variation (=information) in the input
- PCA can be used for
 - visualization
 - data compression
 - reducing dimensionality of features for other machine learning methods
 - eliminating noise

PCA: a toy example



Questions:


- How many dimensions do we have?
- How many dimensions do we need?
- Short divergence: calculate the covariance matrix

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{16}{3} & 8 \\ 8 & \frac{32}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

– What is the correlation between x_1 and x_2 ?

PCA: A toy example (2)

What if we reduce the data to:




Going back to the original coordinates is easy, rotate using:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} & -\frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{4}{5} & \frac{3}{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$p1 = A \times \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} \quad p2 = A \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad p3 = A \times \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can recover the original points perfectly. In this example the inherent dimensionality of the data is only 1.

PCA: A toy example (3)



- What if the variables were not perfectly but strongly correlated?
- We could still do a similar transformation:
 - Discarding x_2 results in a small reconstruction error:


$$p1 = A \times \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Note: z_1 (also z_2) is a linear combination of original variables

Why do we want to reduce the dimensionality


- Visualizing high-dimensional data becomes possible
- If we use the data for other ML methods,
 - we reduce the computation time
 - we may avoid 'the curse of dimensionality'
- Decorrelation is useful in some applications
- We compress the data (in a lossy way)
- We eliminate noise (assuming a high signal to noise ratio)

Different views on PCA



- Find the direction of the largest variance
- Find the projection with the least reconstruction error
- Find a lower dimensional latent Gaussian variable such that the observed variable is a mapping of the latent variable to a higher dimensional space (with added noise)

Aside: your regression estimates and PCA



How to find PCs

- When viewed as *maximizing variance* or *reducing the reconstruction error*, we can write the appropriate objective function and find the vectors that minimize it
- In latent variable interpretation, we can use EM as in estimating mixtures of Gaussians
- The principal components are the eigenvectors of the correlation matrix, where large eigenvalues correspond to components with large variation
- A numerically stable way to obtain principal components is doing *singular value decomposition* (SVD) on the input data

PCA as matrix factorization (eigenvalue decomposition)

- One can compute PCA by decomposing the covariance matrix as (note $\Sigma = X^T X$)

$$\Sigma = U \Lambda U^T$$

- the columns of U are the principal components (eigenvectors)
 - Λ is a diagonal matrix of eigenvalues
- Another option is SVD, which factorizes the input vector (k variables \times n data points) as

$$X = U D V^T$$

- U ($k \times k$) contains the eigenvectors as before,
- D ($k \times n$) diagonal matrix $D^2 = \Lambda$
- V^T is a $n \times n$ unitary matrix

* The above is correct for centered variables, otherwise the formula gets slightly more complicated.

Some practical notes on PCA

- Variables need to be centered
- Scales of the variables matter, standardizing may be a good idea depending on the units/scales of the individual variables
- The sign/direction of the principal component (vector) is not important
- If there are more variables than the data points, we can still calculate the principal components, but there will be at most $n - 1$ PCs
- PCA will be successful if variables are correlated, there are extensions for dealing with nonlinearities (e.g., kernel PCA, ICA, t-SNE)

Unsupervised learning in ANNs

Deep Learning, Deeply embedded PCA, Autoencoders

- Restricted Boltzmann machines (RBM)** similar to the latent variable models (e.g., Gaussian mixtures), consider the representation learned by hidden layers as hidden variables (h), and learn $p(x, h)$ that maximize the probability of the (unlabeled) data
- Autoencoders** train a constrained feed-forward network to predict its output

Restricted Boltzmann machines (RBMs)

Deep Learning, Deeply embedded PCA, Autoencoders



- RBMs are unsupervised latent variable models, they learn only from unlabeled data
- They are generative models of the joint probability $p(h, x)$
- They correspond to undirected graphical models
- No links within layers
- The aim is to learn useful features (h)

* Boxes are omitted in the diagrams and the formulas for simplicity.

The distribution defined by RBMs

Deep Learning, Deeply embedded PCA, Autoencoders



$$p(h, x) = \frac{e^{h^T W x}}{Z}$$

This calculation is intractable (Z is difficult to calculate). But conditional distributions are easy to calculate

$$p(h|x) = \prod_j p(h_j|x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{W_j^T x}}$$

$$p(x|h) = \prod_k p(x_k|h) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-W_k^T h}}$$


Learning in RBMs

Deep Learning, Deeply embedded PCA, Autoencoders

- We want to maximize the probability the model assigns to the input, $p(x)$, or equivalently minimize $-\log p(x)$
- In general, this is computationally expensive
- Contrastive divergence algorithm** is a well known algorithm that efficiently finds an approximate solution

Autoencoders

Deep Learning, Deeply embedded PCA, Autoencoders



- Autoencoders are standard feed-forward networks
- The main difference is that they are trained to predict their input (they try to learn the identity function)
- The aim is to learn useful representations of input at the hidden layer
- The weights are often shared/tied ($W^* = W^T$)

Under-complete autoencoders


Deep Learning, Deeply embedded PCA, Autoencoders



- An autoencoder is said to be *under-complete* if there are fewer hidden units than inputs
- The network is forced to learn a compact representation of the input (compress)
- An autoencoder with a single hidden layer approximates the PCA
- We need multiple layers for learning non-linear features

Over-complete autoencoders


Deep Learning, Deeply embedded PCA, Autoencoders



- An autoencoder is said to be *over-complete* if there are more hidden units than inputs
- The network can normally memorize the input perfectly
- This type of networks are useful if trained with a regularization term resulting in sparse hidden units (e.g., L1 regularization)

Denosing autoencoders

Deep Learning, Deeply embedded PCA, Autoencoders



- Instead of providing the exact input, we introduce noise by
 - randomly setting some inputs to 0 (dropout)
 - adding random (Gaussian) noise
- Network is still expected to reconstruct the original input (without noise)

Unsupervised pre-training

Deep Learning, Deeply embedded PCA, Autoencoders

- A common use case for RBMs and autoencoders are as pre-training methods for supervised networks
- Autoencoders or RBMs are trained using unlabeled data
- The weights learned during the unsupervised learning is used for initializing the weights of a supervised network
- This approach has been one of the reasons for success of deep networks

Summary

Deep Learning, Deeply embedded PCA, Autoencoders

- In unsupervised learning, we do not have labels. Our aim is to find/exploit (latent) structure in the data
- Unsupervised methods try to discover 'hidden' structure in the data
 - Clustering finds groups in the data
 - Density estimation estimates parameters of latent probability distributions
 - Dimensionality reduction transforms the data in a low dimensional space while keeping most of the information in the original data

Next:

- Dense vector representations
- Sequence learning

